INTRODUCTION
It is evident that without nature human being life is impossible. There are three basic necessity of humans is food, clothes and shelter and now the fourth one is good health, which provided by plant kingdom. Nature stands a golden mark and provided the storehouse of remedies to cure all ailments of mankind. Plant kingdom represents a rich house of organic compounds, many of which have been used for medicinal purposes and could serve as lead for the development of novel agents having good efficacy in various pathological disorders in the coming years. Herbs have always been the principal form of medicine in India and presently they are becoming popular throughout the world, as people strive to stay healthy in the face of chronic stress and pollution, and to treat illness with medicines that work in count with the body's own defence. There is a widespread belief that green medicines are healthier and more harmless or safer than synthetic ones (1).

In traditional medicine, there are many natural crude drugs that have the potential to treat many disease and disorders one of them is Butea monosperma popularly known as 'dhak' or 'palas', commonly known as 'Flame of forest'. It has many medicinal properties. It has been used as tonic, astringent, aphrodisiac and diuretic. Its flowers are widely used in the treatment of hepatic disorders and viral hepatitis, diarrhoea and possess anti-inflammatory activity (2).

Roots of B. monosperma are reported to be useful in the treatment of filariasis, night blindness, hemihthasis, piles, ulcers and tumours. Pippali rasayana, an Indian Ayurvedic drug, employs B. monosperma and is used in the management of giardiasis (3).

The bark is reported to possess antitumor and antihelical properties. The root bark is used as an aphrodisiac, analgesic and antihelminthic whereas the leaves possess antimicrobial property (4). B. monosperma flowers contain butin, butein, butrin, isobutrin, palasin, coreippin, isocoreippin, chalcones, and aurones (5). Gum is useful as astringent, depurative and useful in diarrhoea, haemorrhoids, haepoptyis, haematemesis, leprosy, skin diseases (6-7). In some tribes (Banjara) from Maharashtra (India), gum of B. monosperma is used to treat microbial and fungal infections (8). To substantiate this claim, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the antimicrobial potential of leaves, flower and gum extract of B. monosperma by disc diffusion assay and broth dilution assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Plant material
The leaves, flower, and Gum of Butea monosperma plant were collected from the Melghat region of Amravati, District of Maharashtra, India, in the month of December – February and it was authenticated by the taxonomists Dr. S. P. Rothe from the Department of botany, Shri Shivaji College Akola. A voucher specimen (ML-101) was deposited in the herbarium of Department of Botany, Shri Shivaji College, Akola.

Extraction and isolation
The leaves, flower, and Gum of Butea monosperma plant were shade dried at room temperature, ground in a manual mill to get coarse powder. The powder were kept in the air tight polythene bags and stored at dry place. The powder was extracted with solvent water and methanol by using soxhlet apparatus. The extracts were then dried, crushed and stored in air tight bottle for further study. The water extracts of flower were screened for different phytochemical constituents, antioxidant agent and showing that this plant can be used as a complementary source for traditional medicines.

Phytochemical screening
The chemical tests were performed for testing different chemical groups present in water extract of flower (9).

1) Test for Sugar
   a) Molišch’s Test: - Positive
   b) Iodine Test: - Negative
2) Test for Flavonoids
   a) Shinoda test: - Positive
   b) H2SO4: test: - Negative
3) Test for Sterols
   a) Saikowsk test: - Positive
   b) Vanillin test: - Positive
4) Test for Alkaloids
   a) Wagner’s reagent test: - Negative
   b) Mayer’s reagent test: - Negative
5) Test for Tannin
   a) FeCl3 test: - Positive
   b) Lead acetate test: - Negative
6) Test for Protein and Amino acid
   a) Biuret test: - Negative
7) **Test for Resin**
   a) NaOH test: Positive

**Chemicals**

Mueller Hinton agar, SDA (Himedia Lab); Methanol (Ranbaxy laboratories Ltd.); Standard Discs of Ampicillin, Vancomycin (Himedia Lab); Nutrient Broth, PDA (Himedia Lab)

**Microorganisms Used**

The microorganisms which are used for the antibacterial activity were brought from National Chemical Laboratory Pune. These are as follows.

Bacteria :
- *Escherichia coli* NCIM No. 2931, ATCC No. 25922,
- *Staphylococcus aureus* NCIM No. 5021, ATCC No. 25923,
- *Bacillus subtilis* NCIM No. 2063, ATCC No. 6633,
- *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* NCIM No. 2036, ATCC No. 19429.

**Antibacterial Assay**

The methanol and water extracts were examined for their antibacterial potency by Cup plate agar method [10] against four bacterial species viz., *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Petri plates were prepared with 25 ml sterile Mueller Hinton Agar. A sterile cork borer (8 mm) was used to make wells in each plate. 1 ml inoculums suspension was swabbed uniformly over the agar medium to get uniform distribution of bacteria. These plates were labelled and 100 μl of each plant extracts (at concentration of 200 mg/ml) was added aseptically into the well. The Petri plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs during which the activity was evidenced by the presence of zone of inhibition surrounding the well. The negative control was prepared using respective solvent. Ampicillin disc (10 mcg/disc) and Vancomycin disc (30 mcg/disc) were used as positive control. The zone of inhibition was recorded in millimetres by using Himedia Zone Reader Scale.

**Antibacterial activity against E. coli**
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![Control 11 & 12 against E. Coli](image3)

**Antibacterial activity against S. aureus**
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**Antibacterial activity against B. subtilis**

![Activity against B. subtilis for Extracts 1 & 2](image6)
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Table 1: Antibacterial activity of different extracts of the plant *Butea monosperma*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Inhibitory zones in mm</th>
<th>Escherichia coli</th>
<th>Staphylococcus aureus</th>
<th>Bacillus subtilis</th>
<th>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water extract of leaves</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>16mm</td>
<td>12mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>38mm</td>
<td>16mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water extract of Gum</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>36mm</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water extract of Flower</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>36mm</td>
<td>18mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>26mm</td>
<td>26mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid content of Gum (H₂O Extract)</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>18mm</td>
<td>24mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>26mm</td>
<td>19mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Acid content of Gum (H₂O Extract)</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol extract of leaves</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>15mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol extract of Gum</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>19mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol extract of Flower</td>
<td>200 mg/ml</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>15mm</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol (Control)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td>18mm</td>
<td>14mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin disc (Control)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>30mm</td>
<td>19mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>25mm</td>
<td>29mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin disc (Control)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td>13mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td>19mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained for the antibacterial tests performed on different solvent extracts of *B. monosperma* are presented in Table 1. Among the solvents used, the water extract was found to be more effective against all pathogenic bacteria. The methanol extract does not inhibit the growth of *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Acid contents separated from gum and flower, found to be more effective against all the pathogens under examination. Non-Acid content of Gum inhibit the activity of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to a remarkable extent. The result obtain were assessed on their comparison with the activities of standard antibacterial agent like Ampicillin and Vancomycin as control. The differences in the observed activities of the various extracts may be due to varying degree of solubility of the active constituents in the solvents. It has been documented that different solvents have different solubility capacities for different phytochemical constituents (9).

Plants have provided a source of inspiration for novel drug compounds as plant-derived medicines have made significant contribution towards human health. Phyto medicines can be used for the treatment of diseases as is done in case of Unani and Ayurvedic systems of medicines. It may provide a natural blueprint for the development of new drugs. In the present study four different bacterial were used to screen the antimicrobial activity of *B. monosperma* extracts. A result clearly indicates that extracts showed significant antibacterial activity. So it is expected that they could be used to treat infections and diseases caused by these organisms.
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